

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,458	DA PALMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Sathyaranarayan Pannala	Art Unit 2164	

All Participants:

(1) Sathyaranarayan Pannala. (3) _____.

(2) Steven M. Greenberg, Reg. No. 44,725. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2009

Time: 11:00 am.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Applicant to explain the possible rejection of claims 1 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 101. Applicant agreed and authorized for an examiner's amendment to expedite prosecution by amending claims 1 and 13.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyaranarayan Pannala/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)